# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

NANCY	VALEK,
I	Plaintiff,
-vs	

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

CREDIT BUREAU COLLECTION SERVICES	, INC
Defendant.	

## **COMPLAINT & JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, Nancy Valek through counsel, Nitzkin and Associates, by Gary Nitzkin states the following claims for relief:

## **JURISDICTION**

- This court has jurisdiction under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15
   U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §§1331,1337.
- This court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law claims arising out of the same nucleus of operative facts which give rise to the Federal law claims.

### **PARTIES**

 The Defendant to this lawsuit is Credit Bureau Collection Services, Inc. which is an Ohio company that maintains registered offices in Ingham County.

#### VENUE

- The transactions and occurrences which give rise to this action occurred in Ingham County.
- 5. Venue is proper in the Western District of Michigan.

# **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. Defendant is attempting to collect a consumer type debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff to Sparrow Health System in the amount of \$96.00.
- 7. In a previous lawsuit, Plaintiff sued Defendant for violating the cease and desist letter.

  That case settled.
- 8. On or about July 18, 2012, Plaintiff, through Michigan Consumer Credit Lawyers, sent Defendant a cease and desist letter. This letter asked Defendant to cease and desist from contacting Plaintiff in relation to a Sparrow Regional Laboratories debt that they were collecting on at that time, as well as all other alleged debts that they claimed Plaintiff owed.
- 9. On or about June 20, 2013, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter attempting to collect on a Sparrow Health System debt in the amount of \$96.00, which is a violation of the cease and desist letter.

# **COUNT I - FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT**

10. Plaintiff reincorporates the preceding allegations by reference.

- 11. At all relevant times Defendant, in the ordinary course of its business, regularly engaged in the practice of collecting debts on behalf of other individuals or entities.
- 12. Plaintiff is a "consumer" for purposes of the FDCPA and the account at issue in this case is a consumer debt.
- 13. Defendant is a "debt collector" under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 14. Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt violated 15 U.S.C. §1692 et. seq, including:
  - a. 15 U.S.C. §1692b(6) by communicating directly with the Plaintiff when the
     Defendant knew that the Plaintiff was represented by counsel; and
  - b. 15 U.S.C. §1692c by communicating with the Plaintiff after Plaintiff directly instructed the Defendant, in writing, to cease all communication with her.
- 15. The Plaintiff has suffered economic, emotional, general and statutory damages as a result of these violations of the FDCPA.

# COUNT II - VIOLATION OF THE MICHIGAN OCCUPATIONAL CODE

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 17. Defendant is a "collection agency" as that term is defined in the Michigan Occupational Code ("MOC"), M.C.L. § 339.901(b).
- 18. Plaintiff is a debtor as that term is defined in M.C.L. § 339.901(f).
- Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt violated MCL §339.915

- 20. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the Michigan Occupational Code.
- 21. These violations of the Michigan Occupational Code were willful.

# COUNT III - VIOLATION OF THE MICHIGAN COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- c. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 22. Defendant is a "Regulated Person" as that term is defined in the Michigan Collection Practices Act ("MCPA"), at MCL § 445.251.
- 23. Plaintiff is a "Consumer" as that term is defined at MCL § 445.251.
- 24. Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt violated MCL §445.252
- 25. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the MCPA.
- 26. These violations of the MCPA were willful.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

# DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF

Accordingly, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant him the following relief against the defendant:

a. Actual damages.

- b. Statutory damages.
- c. Treble damages.
- d. Statutory costs and attorney fees.

Respectfully submitted,

July 18, 2013

/s/ Gary Nitzkin
GARY D. NITZKIN P41155
TRAVIS SHACKELFORD P68710
MICHIGAN CONSUMER CREDIT LAWYERS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
22142 West Nine Mile Road
Southfield, MI 48033
(248) 353-2882
Fax (248) 353-4840
Email – gary@micreditlawyer.com